

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,373	AMENDT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. S. Nakarani	1773	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) D. S. Nakarani.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Mitchell J. Weinstein, Attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 April 2006
Time: 3:55 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Weinstein agreed to amend claims to overcome rejection of claims stated in paragraph 6 of the Office Action mailed December 19, 2005 (Paper No. 20051210). For the detail of agreement, see Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)